ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): Chris Johnson Hamer (SBN 105752) STOKES, HAMER, KIRK & EADS, LLP 381 Bayside Road, Ste. A Arcata, California 95521 TELEPHONE NO.: 707-822-1771 FAX NO. (Optional): 707-822-1901 E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): Chris@shkklaw.com ATTORNEY FOR (Name): ROYCE MENDONCA, Petitioner SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT STREET ADDRESS: 825 Fifth Street MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: Eureka, CA 95501 BRANCH NAME:	You're going to love this one! Read down
TEMPORARY CONSERVATORSHIP OF (Name): BARBARA LYNN KELLER	CASE NUMBER: PR2100162
CONSERVATEE	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR
	HEARING DATE: 09/02/2021
X Person X Estate X Person and Estate	рерт.: 2:15 p.m. тиме: 6
1. Petitioner (name each): a. (Name): ROYCE MENDONCA (Address and 8320 Tail Race Drive, Roseville, Contelephone number): 916-532-5913 be appointed temporary conservator of the PERSON of the proposed conservatee	CA 95747
b. (Name): ROYCE MENDONCA (Address and 8320 Tail Race Drive, Roseville, Contelephone number): 916-532-5913 be appointed temporary conservator of the ESTATE of the proposed conservatee	
 c. (1) bond not be required because petition is for a temporary conservatorship (2) bond not be required for the reasons stated in attachment 1c. (3) \$ 193,170.54 bond be fixed. It will be furnished by an admittee (Specify reasons in attachment 1c if the amount is different from maximum and Cal. Rules of Court, rule 7.207(c).) (4) \$ in deposits in a blocked account be allowed. Ref. (Specify institution and location): 	d surety insurer or as otherwise provided by law. In required by Probate Code section 2320
 d. a request for an exception to notice of the hearing on this petition for good care. the powers specified in Attachment 1e be granted in addition to the powers prof. other orders be granted (specify in attachment 1f). 	•
2. The proposed conservatee is (name): BARBARA LYNN KELLER Current address: 7111 Wonner Way Citrus Current to Heights, CA 95621	elephone no.: 916-730-9642
	e for temporary care, maintenance, and support n attachment 3 X as follows):
It is necessary to place the proposed conservatee facility in order to provide her with care. It is for the proposed conservatee's food, medicine, me	s not possible to pay

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use
Judicial Council of California
GC-111 [New July 1, 2008]

CD Essential
A Forms

etc., without Letters of Conservatorship.

Page 1 of 3

			an assisted care facility on
TEMPORARY CONSERVATORSHIP OF		CASE NUMBER.	8/26/21 - the court had
(Name): BARBARA LYNN KELLER		PR2100162	NOT granted him that
	CONSERVATEE	***	authority - he is asking for
			authority here so he and his
4. Temporary conservatorship is required	1		attorney are well aware that he did NOT have that
 a. pending the hearing on the petition for appointment of a gene b. pending the appeal under Probate Code section 1301. 	rai conservator.		
c. during the suspension of powers of the conservator.			authority on 8/26/21.
5. Character and estimated value of the property of the estate (person and estate is requested):	complete if a ten	nporary conserva	torship of the estale or the
a. Personal property:	\$ 1	41,500.00	
b. Annual gross income from all sources, including real and			
personal property, wages, pensions, and public benefits:	\$	14,405.04	
 c. Additional amount for cost of recovery on the bond, calculated as required under Cal. Rules of Court, rule 7.207(c): 	\$	37.265.41	
d. Total:	•	93,170.45	
u. 1990.			
6. X Petitioner requests authority to change the proposed conser	rvatee's residen	ce during the te	mporary conservatorship
a. X Petitioner proposes to change the residence of the proposed			
Sunrise of Carmichael, 5451 Fair Oaks Bl		ichael, CA	95608,
or another facility providing comparable	care.		
The proposed conservatee will suffer irreparable harm if his or less restrictive of the proposed conservatee's liberty will suffic specified in attachment 6a	e to prevent the	harm because (re	easons are
perimeter memory unit. The facility in			
proposed conservator's personal residence			
proposed conservatee's sister-in-law.			
Royce and Attorney Hamer signed this form on 8/24/21 declaring th	at Barbara suffe	rs from Alzheim	er's - yet they had Barbara sign a
legal nomination form drawn up by Royce's attorney on 8/25/21.			
b. The proposed conservatee must be removed from the State o nonpsychiatric medical treatment essential to the proposed of consents to this medical treatment. (Facts and place of treatment)	onservatee's phys	•	e proposed conservatee
to the state of th		opcomod m and	
7. Petitioner is a professional fiduciary			
a. Petitioner holds license no. (specify):			iaries Bureau of the Department
of Consumer Affairs issued or last renewed on (specify later date	of initial issuand	e or renewal):	
b. Petitioner was requested to file this petition by (name):			
c. The circumstances leading to petitioner's engagement to file this			
d Patitioner had: (1) E No relationship to the proceed so			
 d. Petitioner had: (1) No relationship to the proposed co engagement to file this petition. 			
 d. Petitioner had: (1) No relationship to the proposed coengagement to file this petition. (2) A relationship to the proposed construction. 	nservatee, his or	her family, or his	or her friends before
engagement to file this petition.	nservatee, his or t	her family, or his	or her friends before
engagement to file this petition. (2) A relationship to the proposed consengagement to file this petition. The Petition for Appointment of Probate	nservatee, his or hat relationship is Conservator (for	her family, or his oner family, or his of described in [omm GC-310) filed	or her friends before or her friends before attachment 7d the
engagement to file this petition. (2) A relationship to the proposed conengagement to file this petition. The	nservatee, his or hat relationship is Conservator (for	her family, or his oner family, or his of described in [omm GC-310) filed	or her friends before or her friends before attachment 7d the

Royce placed Barbara into

TEMPORARY CONSERVATORSHIP OF		CASE NUMBER.
(Name): BARBARA LYNN KELLER		PR2100162
	CONSERVATEE	
8. Petitioner's contact with persons named in Petition for	Appointment of Probate	Conservator
a. Petitioner is the proposed conservatee. (If this item	is selected, go to item 9.)	
b. Petitioner is not the proposed conservatee. All person	ons other than the propose	ed conservatee named in the Petition for
Appointment of Probate Conservator filed with this p	etition:	
(1) Have been found and contacted. All will be		on this petition.
(2) Have not been found or have not been conti	acted. Efforts to find the p	ersons who have not been found and
the reasons why any person cannot be cont	acted are described in one	or more declarations under penalty of
perjury attached to this petition as attachme	nt 8b. (Attachment 8b is n	of a request for a good cause exception
to notice. See Prob. Code, § 2250(e) and ru	le 7.1062 of the Cal. Rule	s of Court)
c. X Petitioner is not the proposed conservatee. Facts sh	owing the preferences of	the proposed conservatee concerning the
appointment of any temporary conservator, and the	appointment of the tempor	rary conservator proposed in this notition
or why it was not feasible to ascertain those prefered	nces, are specified in one	or more declarations attached to this
petition as attachment 8c.	inou, and appointed in one	or more dedications attached to this
9. Petitioner is informed and believes that the proposed co	onservat ee	
a. Will attend the hearing.		
b. is able but unwilling to attend the hearing, does not	wish to contest the establis	shment of a conservatorship, does not
object to the proposed conservator, and does not pro-	efer that another person a	ct as conservator.
c. a is unable to attend the hearing because of medical in	nability. An affidavit or cert	tificate of a licensed medical
practitioner or an accredited religious practitioner is		
d. is not the petitioner, is out of state, and will not atten	d the hearing.	
10. Filed with this petition is a proposed Order Appointing	Court Investigator (form G	SC-330).
11. All attachments to this form are incorporated by this referer	nce as though placed here	in this form. There are2_ pages
attached to this form.	STOKE	ES, HAMER, KIRK & EADS, LLP
Date: August 24, 2021	Ry	
(Signature of all petitioners also required (Prob. Code, § 1020).)	7	(SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY")
declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of	California that the foregoi	ing is true-and correct.
Date: August 347, 2021		
ROYCE MENDONCA		
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	- 7	(SIGNATURE OF PETITIONER)
	`. '	,
	L	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	- 7	(SIGNATURE OF PETITIONER)

Keep reading down - it just gets better

Attachment 3 to Petition for Appointment of Temporary Conservator

The proposed conservatee needs to be placed in a locked perimeter facility and provided care as soon as possible. She suffers from memory loss and Alzheimer's Disease, is unable to care for herself or to handle her own financial affairs. It is necessary for the proposed conservator to begin managing her financial affairs, as well as paying for the facility in which she is to be housed and cared for, as soon as possible. and yet they had Barbara sign a "legal nomination" document the day AFTER they signed this.

In addition, the proposed conservatee's community property estate, owned with her husband, Ronald Keller, is at risk of loss. The proposed conservatee's daughter, Sharon Wolff, is petitioning for conservatorship of both Ronald's estate and the proposed conservatee's estate. Petitioner is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, because of the bad relationship between Sharon Wolff and Ronald Keller, Sharon Wolff will take and use the entire community property estate for the benefit of Barbara Keller and not for the benefit and care of Barbara's husband, Ronald.

Royce and his attorney have quite literally documented Ron's delusional thinking and submitted it to the court as if it was true - under penalty of perjury of course. Every professional who has had contact with Barbara and Ron has recognized the severity of Ron's delusions which are in no way based in reality. Ron's probable Parkinson's related dementia has become very pronounced and anyone who has had a loved one with this disease can tell you how scary it is to see your family member going through this.

The cognitive assessment done by Dr. Andrew Levine after meeting with Ron and Barbara in their home on 6/25/21 stated: "he (Ron) stated that his in-laws, Sharon and Shawna, were sneaking into the home at night to watch them sleep, and then bragging about it. He stated that he had the locks replaced. He stated that he and Barbara had barricaded the door with furniture prior to the locks being installed. He is worried that the in-laws will commit him and Barbara to a care facility."

"Mr. Keller demonstrated cognitive impairment across almost all domains evaluated. He appeared to be bradykinetic, which may be due to medications or perhaps an underlying neurological condition. His cognitive profile is suggestive of fronto-striatal dysfunction. Together, these results suggests a Parkinson's related disorder, or perhaps cerebrovascular pathology. Neurological consultation is recommended for further diagnostic clarification and to inform treatment options."

Ron enjoyed spending his 80th birthday at Sharon and Steve's home (Aug. 2020) as they hosted a "Pandemic Party" just to make sure that this special milestone in his life got the celebration it deserved despite everything else that had been taken from all us from the pandemic. Ron had two full plates of Sharon's world-famous Swedish meatballs and she made sure to send them home with leftovers. Ron has enjoyed Sharon's cooking for years.

Royce and his attorney might know some of this stuff if they weren't complete and total STRANGERS!

Attachment 8(c) to Petition for Temporary Conservatorship

BARBARA LYNN KELLER

Barbara Lynn Keller has nominated Royce Mendonca to be the conservator of her person and estate. Barbara does not want anyone other than Royce to be her conservator.

This one is very interesting.

This attachment was signed by Royce and Attorney Hamer on August 24, 2021

They had Barbara sign their "legal nomination" document on August 25, 2021

Is that lazy fraud or what! Seriously folks

Attorney Hamer obviously must have been supremely confident no one - certainly not the court - would scrutinize a single paper that she filed. Simply having the paper in the case file sufficed for the Humboldt County Superior Court. Whether that paper is legal or not is just not a concern in Humboldt when you're trying to take custody of vulnerable adults, invalidate their wills and all of their documented wishes and take their estate.